



Organisational Duty of Candour guidance

March 2018




Contents



Introduction	4
General	4
The need for candour	5
Leadership and management	6
Who does the duty of candour procedure apply to?	6
When must the duty of candour procedure be activated?	7
The view of the registered health professional	8
When a registered health professional has agreed to provide the responsible person with their view, this should cover the following:	9
What is the procedure start date?	9
What does 'could result' mean and how is that decision to be made?	10
Notification	11
Communication with relevant person	12
What are the implications if a claim for compensation is made once the decision to follow the duty of candour procedure is made?	13
Apology	13
Meeting	14
The meeting must include:	15
After the meeting the relevant person must be provided with:	16
Where more than one organisation needs to be involved in the duty of candour procedure	16
The review	17
Organisations must prepare a written report of the review, which must include:	18
Organisations must offer to send the relevant person:	18
Records	19
Reporting and monitoring	19
The report must include:	19
When an organisation has published a report, they must notify:	20
Training and support	20

Annex A – The Organisational Duty of Candour Checklist	22
Annex B – Summary of the Duty of Candour Procedure	23
The responsible person	23
The responsible person has responsibility for:	24
Incident which activates the duty:	24
The procedure:	25
Annex C – Definitions as set out in the Health (Tobacco, Nicotine, etc. and Care) (Scotland) Act 2016 and the implementing regulations	26
Annex D – Making an apology	28
What is a meaningful apology?	29
How should I make an apology?	30
Who should apologise?	30
Annex E – Adults with incapacity and following a death	31
Power of Attorney	31
The Attorney	31
Types of Power of Attorney	31
Who Needs a Power of Attorney?	32
What is the difference between a power of attorney and a guardianship?	32
Next-of-Kin	32
Annex F – Training, Education, Advice, Guidance and Publicity	33
Annex G – Professional regulators guidance	34
Annex H – Further sources of support	35



Introduction

► General

Openness and honesty should be central to the actions of those providing care to others. It should be at the heart of every relationship between those providing, receiving and/or experiencing treatment and care. Trust and effective communication can be difficult to maintain and easy to lose when things have gone wrong.

The new organisational duty of candour underpins the Scottish Government's commitment to openness and learning which is vital to the provision of safe, effective and person-centred health and social care. This guidance focuses on the implementation of the legal duty of candour procedure for all organisations that provide health services, care services or social work services in Scotland.

The organisational duty of candour provisions of the [Health \(Tobacco, Nicotine etc. and Care\) \(Scotland\) Act 2016](#) (The Act) and [The Duty of Candour Procedure \(Scotland\) Regulations 2018](#) set out the procedure that organisations providing health services, care services and social work services in Scotland are required by law to follow when there has been an unintended or unexpected incident that results in death or harm (or additional treatment is required to prevent injury that would result in death or harm).

Alongside the legal requirements in following the duty of candour procedure, this guidance outlines the issues organisations will want to consider at each point in the procedure; suggests best practice; and provides a checklist of the steps to be taken to fulfil the duty. Links to other helpful resources are included throughout and in [Annex G](#).

This guidance is not intended to be a definitive interpretation of the legislation on duty of candour. However, following an unintended or unexpected incident, organisations are encouraged to follow the guidance in implementing the processes outlined. This will help ensure consistency of approach and equity of response across organisations in Scotland.

In this guidance the word **must** refers to actions that are a legal requirement as set out in the duty of candour procedure legislation. The remainder of the guidance provides details of best practice in following arrangements for notification, discussion, review and actions for organisations when there has been an unintended or unexpected incident resulting in death or harm. The guidance also includes several 'issues to consider' sections that outline areas to think about in support of implementation of the organisational duty.

Organisations may also wish to develop local guidance and procedures to support notification, meetings, review, training and support requirements in a manner that is tailored to the particular services they provide.

Every organisation covered by the duty of candour legislation is regarded as a **'responsible person'** with the definition as set out in [section 25 of The Act](#).

A **'relevant person'** is the person who has been harmed during the incident, or where that person has died, or is, in the opinion of the responsible person, lacking in capacity or otherwise unable to make decisions about the service provided, a person acting on behalf of that person. This is set out in section 22(3) of the Act.

► The need for candour

Enabling and managing risk is a central part of delivering high quality health, care and social work services. Candour promotes responsibility for developing safer systems; better engages staff in improving services; and creates greater trust in people who use these services, either first hand or on behalf of someone else.

Personalised discussions and communication, review processes that take account of what matters most to those affected and supportive responses following unintended or unexpected incidents all help to support and promote a culture of learning. Putting people at the centre of organisational responses to unintended or unexpected incidents resulting in death or harm also helps create the conditions where people feel psychologically safe to contribute to such discussions.

Truly personalised organisational responses when things go wrong require a commitment to the provision of support and training for everyone involved in meetings, reviews and actions arising from the organisational duty of candour.

The focus of the duty of candour legislation is to ensure that organisations tell those affected that an unintended or unexpected incident has occurred; apologise; involve them in meetings about the incident; review what happened with a view to identifying areas for improvement; and learn (taking account of the views of relevant persons). Organisations must ensure that support is in place for their employees and for others who may also be affected by unintended or unexpected incidents.

Organisations must set out in an annual report the way that the duty of candour procedure has been followed for all the cases that they have identified.

There are already a number of professional duties of candour such as those required by the Scottish Social Services Council, the Nursing and Midwifery Council, the General Medical Council, the General Dental Council, and the General Optical Council. This statutory organisational duty has been developed to be in close alignment with the requirements of these professional duties and will be mutually supportive.

► Leadership and management

Leaders and managers within organisations should ensure that the implementation of the duty of candour procedure forms a key part of the learning systems within their organisations and that the necessary integration and alignment with organisational processes and procedures has taken place. The central emphasis on communication, support, learning focused reviews and transparency in publishing duty of candour annual reports should be reflected throughout the organisation. Each organisation must ensure that all staff who carry out the procedure on its behalf are familiar with the duty of candour procedure.

Organisations should consider how monitoring of the effective implementation of the actions required by the duty of candour legislation can be integrated into existing corporate governance frameworks, processes and procedures. Assurance should be sought to confirm that all elements of the procedure are being implemented when they should be, and that there are ways of supporting continuous improvements and refinements in the way that the organisation discharges its legal responsibilities.

► Who does the duty of candour procedure apply to?

Organisations that provide a health service, care service, or social work service to which the duty of candour applies is referred to in the relevant legislation as a “responsible person”. This is set out at section 25 of the Act:

- a Health Board constituted under section 2(1) of the National Health Service (Scotland) Act 1978 (the 1978 Act);
- a person (other than an individual) who has entered into a contract, agreement or arrangement with a Health Board to provide a health service;
- the Common Services Agency for the Scottish Health Service constituted under section 10(1) of the 1978 Act;
- a person (other than an individual) providing an independent healthcare service mentioned in section 10F(1) of the 1978 Act;
- a local authority;
- a person (other than an individual) who provides a care service;
- an individual who provides a care service and who employs, or has otherwise made arrangements with, other persons to assist with the provision of that service (except childminders or unless the assistance in providing that service is merely incidental to the carrying out of other activities);
- a person (other than an individual) who provides a social work service.

► When must the duty of candour procedure be activated?

Organisations (as responsible persons) must activate the duty of candour procedure as soon as reasonably practicable after becoming aware that:

- an unintended or unexpected incident occurred in the provision of the health, care or social work service provided by the organisation as the responsible person;
- in the reasonable opinion of a registered health professional (as defined in [Annex C](#)) not involved in the incident:
 - (a) that incident appears to have resulted in or could result in any of the outcomes mentioned below; and
 - (b) that outcome relates directly to the incident rather than to the natural course of the person's illness or underlying condition.

It is important to note that where the duty of candour procedure start date is later than one month after the date on which the incident occurred, an explanation of the reason for this has to be provided to the relevant person.

The relevant outcomes are as follows:

- A.** The death of the person.
- B.** Permanent lessening of bodily, sensory, motor, physiologic or intellectual functions (including removal of the wrong limb or organ or brain damage) ("severe harm").
- C.** Harm which is not severe harm but which results in one or more of the following criterion:
 - an increase in the person's treatment;
 - changes to the structure of the person's body;
 - the shortening of the life expectancy of the person;
 - an impairment of the sensory, motor or intellectual functions of the person which has lasted, or is likely to last, for a continuous period of at least 28 days;
 - the person experiencing pain or psychological harm which has been, or is likely to be, experienced by the person for a continuous period of at least 28 days.

D. The person requires treatment by a registered health professional in order to prevent:

- the death of the person;
- any injury to the person which, if left untreated, would lead to one or more of the outcomes mentioned in paragraph **B** or **C**.

► The view of the registered health professional

A registered health professional must give their view on the incident and its relationship to the occurrence of death or harm and pre-existing illnesses or underlying conditions.

Organisations must ensure that the registered health professional who gives the opinion mentioned above, following an unintended or unexpected incident, is not someone who was involved in the incident.

This means that the final decision by the organisation about whether to activate the duty of candour procedure for a particular incident will be informed by the views of a health professional who has not been personally involved, but could work for the organisation.

The legislation does not require this to be a detailed and comprehensive analysis of the incident to form an opinion about contributory factors. The requirement is for someone not involved in the incident to provide a view to inform a decision about activating the duty of candour procedure (which includes a review process).

Although it will be for the organisations to determine the most appropriate way of obtaining the views of the registered health professional not involved in the incident, it is likely that health professionals will require organisations to provide them with the following core information in the first instance:

- What was the incident?
- What was the outcome?
- What illnesses and underlying condition did/does the person have?



► When a registered health professional has agreed to provide the responsible person with their view, this should cover the following:

- Based on the background information provided, does it appear that this incident resulted in or could result in the death or harm¹ described?
- Does the natural course of the person's illness or underlying condition directly relate to the death or harm described?

In circumstances where there is not a registered health professional working within the organisation where the incident occurred (for instance a small care at home service or some social work services), registered health professionals with an existing involvement with the relevant person should be contacted where possible. However, they must not have been involved in the incident. Health services can provide assistance in identifying a registered health professional who would be able to provide the required view in such circumstances.

Organisations who have difficulties in identifying a registered health professional can also contact Healthcare Improvement Scotland or the Care Inspectorate for advice on routes to be considered.

Although it is likely that the organisation will already have a view on whether the procedure should be activated, the views of the registered health professional not involved with the incident form an important further step in the duty of candour procedure.


► What is the procedure start date?

The procedure start date is the date that the organisation receives confirmation from a registered health professional that, in their reasonable opinion, an unintended or unexpected incident appears to have resulted in, or could result in an outcome listed above and that relates directly to the incident rather than to the natural course of the relevant person's illness or underlying condition.

¹ See [Section 21\(4\) of the Act](#) for the details list of outcomes.

► What does 'could result' mean and how is that decision to be made?

If the registered health professional thinks that it is unlikely that harm will occur, then the duty of candour procedure need not be activated for that incident. The diagram below sets out the decision making process in more detail.



► Notification

The duty of candour legislation states that the relevant person should be notified as soon as reasonably practicable but it should be considered good practice to notify the relevant person within 10 working days of the procedure start date.

This notification can be by various methods including telephone, face to face or by letter. It is important to remember that where a duty of candour procedure start date is more than a month after the incident, the organisation must provide the relevant person with an explanation of why this is.

Things to consider ►

Before having the conversation at the point of notification, the organisation may wish to consider:

- Who from the organisation is already in contact with the relevant person?
- What discussions or information exchange has already taken place?
- What is the relevant person's current understanding of the incident and organisational response to this?
- Where the conversation takes place?
- Who should be part of, and who should lead that conversation?
- What support should be available to the relevant person during the conversation and afterwards?
- Who will be the single point of contact following the discussion with the relevant person?

The notification must include:

- an account of the incident to the extent that the organisation is aware of the facts at the date the notification is provided; and
- an explanation of the actions that the organisation will take as part of the procedure;
- **in the case where the procedure start date is later than one month after the date on which the incident occurred, an explanation of the reason for the delay in starting the procedure.**

Things to consider ►

The information that an organisation may need to consider in deciding whether to activate the duty of candour procedure can come from a range of sources. It will be important for organisations to identify what these sources are and ensure relevant information, support and co-ordination mechanisms are in place. In some instances organisations may be contacted by other organisations providing health, care or social work services when it appears that the activation of the duty of candour procedure should be considered. Organisations should ensure that staff are aware of how to deal with such scenarios.

Organisations should consider the support needs of relevant persons at the earliest possible opportunity and while following the duty of candour procedure.

► **Communication with relevant person**

Organisations must take reasonable steps to find out the relevant person's preferred method of communication. They must also take reasonable steps to ensure that communication with the relevant person is in a manner that they can understand.

Things to consider ►

Thinking about communication with the relevant person:

Do you have any knowledge currently about their preferred method of communication?

It is recognised that in some instances communication channels may not exist or preferences are unknown. Establishing contact by telephone in the first instance might be necessary to find out what method of communication to use, and to begin dialogue on what steps might need to be taken in following the duty of candour procedure.

If an organisation is unable to contact the relevant person or the relevant person does not wish to speak with a representative of the organisation, the attempts made to contact them need to be included as part of the organisation's written record of following the duty of candour procedure.

Organisations need not provide information where relevant persons have indicated that they do not wish to receive it.

The Regulations do not permit or require organisations to disclose any information that would prejudice any criminal investigation or prosecution or contravene any restriction on disclosure arising by virtue of an enactment or rule of law.

Organisations need to be mindful of their general obligations to act in accordance with the European Convention of Human Rights, and any other relevant laws relating to personal information.

► What are the implications if a claim for compensation is made once the decision to follow the duty of candour procedure is made?

Whilst it would not be appropriate for an organisation to try to prevent the relevant person from making a claim, organisations can suggest to relevant persons that they may wish to wait until the duty of candour procedure has concluded, when their case will have been investigated; they will have received an apology; the facts will have been established and any actions to improve the quality of care and/or learning will have been identified.

If a relevant person mentions that they are considering making a claim, the duty of candour procedure should continue. If a relevant person makes a claim (i.e. the organisation receives formal notification of commencement of legal proceedings), then some elements of the duty of candour procedure may need to be paused until the legal process reaches a conclusion. For example, internal reviews could still proceed and organisations should still try to identify any potential improvement and learning actions.

► Apology

In addition to any apology provided at the time of the incident, as part of the duty of candour procedure the organisation must offer the relevant person a written apology (this can be by electronic communication if that is the relevant person's preferred means of communication) in respect of the incident. The organisation must provide a written apology if the relevant person wishes it.

The written apology should be personal and be provided at an appropriate time during the duty of candour procedure, taking account of the facts and circumstances in relation to the particular incident.

This should take account of the circumstances relating to the relevant person and, wherever possible, the known personal meaning or impact of the unexpected or unintended incident.

There may still be misconceptions and misunderstanding that the provision of an apology equates to an admission of liability and that organisations should never offer apologies for this reason – but that is not correct.

Section 23(1) of the Act states that “an ‘apology’ means a statement of sorrow or regret in respect of the unintended or unexpected incident.” The Act sets out that ‘an apology’ or other step taken in accordance with the duty of candour procedure does not of itself amount to an admission of negligence or a breach of a statutory duty.”

Further guidance on making an apology as part of the duty of candour procedure and the form this might take is set out in [Annex D](#). Further information can also be found on the Little Things Make a Big Difference website: <http://www.knowledge.scot.nhs.uk/making-a-difference/resources>

► Meeting

The organisation must invite the relevant person to attend a meeting and give them the opportunity to ask questions in advance. The organisation must take reasonable steps to ensure that the meeting is accessible to the relevant person, having regard to their needs.

For example, linguistic needs or reasonable adjustments that might need to be made for someone who has a disability. In some circumstances it will be necessary to have an interpreter, an advocate and/or someone the relevant person chooses to support them present.

Things to consider ►

A quiet room should be used, free from distraction and where the meeting will not be interrupted. It may not be appropriate to host the meeting close to where the incident happened as this could be emotionally difficult for the relevant person.

At the meeting, the organisation’s representative should speak to the relevant person in the same way as they would want someone in the same situation to communicate with them or a member of their own family.

Staff should try to avoid the use of jargon or explain technical terms when speaking with relevant persons.

► The meeting must include:

- a verbal account of the incident;
- an explanation of any further steps that will be taken by the organisation to investigate the circumstances which it considers led or contributed to the incident;
- an opportunity for the relevant person to ask questions about the incident;
- an opportunity for the relevant person to express their views about the incident; and
- the provision of information to the relevant person about any legal, regulatory or review procedures that are being followed in respect of the incident in addition to the procedure.

Following some unexpected or unintended incidents there may be several review processes operating in parallel. This can be confusing for people. To try to lessen this confusion, meetings with relevant persons must include details of other procedures which are being followed including their differing scope and focus.

In circumstances where an organisation is concerned, for example, that an unintended or unexpected incident was contributed to by factors influencing the capability of an employee it may be helpful for the relevant person to know that in addition to the systems review that is in operation, a separate process has been put in place to identify whether an employee may benefit from support and/or consider matters not related to organisational review and learning.



- ▶ After the meeting the relevant person must be provided with:
 - a note of the meeting;
 - contact details of an individual member of staff acting on behalf of the organisation who the relevant person may contact in respect of the procedure.

Things to consider ▶

Make sure the organisation agrees with the relevant person what the note of the meeting will include. This does not need to be a verbatim account of the discussion but could include when and where the meeting took place, a record of the apology and actions and timescales that were agreed.

Make sure that this note of the meeting is shared in good time with the relevant person. In some instances where the note of the meeting is brief, it may then be followed by a more comprehensive summary of the issues covered in the meeting – for example, outlining the questions that were asked or views expressed and the matters discussed. The note of the meeting may include reference to the process for producing this.

If the relevant person does not wish to, or is unable to attend the meeting, the organisation must still provide them with the information set out above (other than a note of the meeting) if the relevant person wishes it.

- ▶ Where more than one organisation needs to be involved in the duty of candour procedure

The duty of candour procedure is the legal responsibility of the organisation who provided a health service, care service or social work service where the incident occurred. Other health and social care providers may have been involved in the provision of care and services, but they are not responsible persons (organisations) in respect of that incident.

It is often the case that a range of organisations are involved in the episode of treatment or care where the unexpected or unintended incident occurred. Although they are not responsible persons in terms of the legislation, they may need to become involved in providing information as part of a review or in providing support for relevant persons coping with the personal impact of death or harm arising from the unintended or unexpected incidents. In rare circumstances, several responsible persons may each decide to activate the duty of candour procedure for multiple incidents. In such circumstances, responsible persons should seek to communicate with each other, emphasising co-operation and ensuring a co-ordinated approach in their communications with the relevant person.

Where more than one organisation needs to be involved in the duty of candour review, all parties are expected to co-operate fully throughout the duty of candour procedure and share lessons learned and necessary actions identified by the procedure.

Where this is the case, the relevant person must be informed as part of the notification process, that the organisation where the incident occurred is the responsible person, as defined by the legislation, who will carry out the procedure.

► The review

Organisations must carry out a review of the circumstances which they consider led or contributed to the unintended or unexpected incident. The legislation does not specify the manner in which the review is undertaken, but it is likely that this will be one of a range of review processes that are already undertaken such as an adverse event review, a significant case review of the sort undertaken by child, adult and public protection committees or a morbidity and mortality review.

Best practice requires that reviews involve clinical and care professionals with the relevant subject matter expertise, as appropriate.

Best practice in reviewing unintended or unexpected incidents that have resulted in death or harm require that a systems emphasis is adopted. This is clearly illustrated in resources such as the Systems Analysis of Clinical Incidents (known as [The London Protocol](#)) and the Social Care Institute of Excellence's [Learning Together](#) model.

It is the emphasis on contributory factors in this and similar protocols that represent best practice features of the reviews that must be conducted. Organisations may find the [NHS Improvement Just Culture Guide](#) a helpful resource for framing their approach to reviews.

Some of the resources that are available to support reviews focused on systems analysis; the identification of contributory factors and the investigation of human factors following harm events are outlined in [Annex H](#).

In the case where the review is not completed within three months of the procedure start date, the organisation must provide the relevant person with an explanation of the reason for the delay in completing the review.

In carrying out the review, organisations must seek the views of the relevant person and take account of any views expressed. This will be best implemented through the development of a supportive relationship with the relevant person and arrangements that ensure review processes consider the views of the relevant person and are able to demonstrate the way in which these views (which are likely to reflect what matters most) have been taken account of.

► Organisations must prepare a written report of the review, which must include:

- a description of the manner in which the review was carried out;
- a statement of any actions to be taken by the organisation for the purpose of improving the quality of service it provides and sharing learning with other persons or organisations in order to support continuous improvement in the quality of health, care or social work services; and
- a list of the actions taken for the purpose of the procedure in respect of the incident and the date each action took place.

This provides organisations with an opportunity to demonstrate that the views of relevant persons have been considered and that a review has been conducted that has focused on systems analysis that takes account of best practice in review and investigation of human factors.

The legal requirement to include details of the dates when each element of the duty of candour procedure took place is included to provide an overview of the process within an organisation from the point that they decide to activate the duty of candour procedure to the point the review is concluded.

Where possible, written reports on reviews should be written in a manner that minimises the need for extensive redaction.

► Organisations must offer to send the relevant person:

- a copy of the written report of the review;
- details of any further information about actions taken for the purpose of improving the quality of service provided by the organisation or other health, care or social work services; and
- details of any services or support which may be able to provide assistance or support the relevant person, taking into account their needs.

Things to consider ►

It is important to think about how the report of the review is written if it is to be shared with the 'relevant person'. It should not contain jargon or acronyms which are difficult to understand. It should be clear and understandable.

Review reports should include information on the actions that are to be taken to make improvements in systems and processes influencing the quality of care delivery. The actions taken to share learning with other organisations (such as those who might have similar organisational processes to the ones that formed the basis of the review) should be outlined in the written review report.

The inclusion of the term 'further information' in the legislation recognises that supporting information to explain the conclusions of a review or provide details to explain why it is thought a particular action will improve quality can often be very helpful in demonstrating the approach to improvement implementation that will be adopted.

► Records

Organisations must keep a written record for each incident to which the duty of candour procedure is applied, including a copy of every document or piece of correspondence relating to the application of the duty of candour procedure to the incident. The written record should be retained by the organisation in accordance with relevant local policies and procedures.

► Reporting and monitoring

The Act sets out that a responsible person that provides a health, care, or social work service during a financial year must prepare an annual report, as soon as reasonably practicable after the end of that financial year.

► The report must include:

- information about the number and nature of incidents to which the duty of candour procedure has applied in relation to a health service, a care service or a social work service provided by the responsible person;
- an assessment of the extent to which the responsible person carried out the duty of candour;
- information about the responsible person's policies and procedures in relation to the duty of candour, including information about procedures for identifying and reporting incidents, and support available to staff and to persons affected by incidents;
- information about any changes to the responsible person's policies and procedures as a result of incidents to which the duty of candour has applied;
- such other information as the responsible person thinks fit.

The report must not mention the name of any individual, or contain any information that could identify any individual.

The report must be published in a manner that is publicly accessible. For instance, on an organisation's website.

► When an organisation has published a report, they must notify:

- Healthcare Improvement Scotland, in the case of a report published by an organisation which provides an independent healthcare service (within the meaning of section 10F(1) of the NHS (Scotland) Act 1978). This can be submitted via the eForms system;
- The Scottish Ministers, in the case of a report published by any other organisation which provides a health service. Please send the notification to dutyofcandour@gov.scot ;
- The Care Inspectorate, in the case of a report published by an organisation which provides a care service or a social work service. The Care Inspectorate will ask for information about whether or not care services have published their duty of candour report in the first set of Annual Returns following the end of the financial year after which the report must be published.

Healthcare Improvement Scotland, Scottish Ministers and The Care Inspectorate may, for the purpose of monitoring compliance with the duty of candour provisions, serve a notice on an organisation, requiring them to provide information about any of the matters listed in the Reporting and Monitoring section as specified in the notice, and that information is to be provided within the time specified in the notice. As a result, they may publish a report on the organisation's compliance. [Sample report templates](#) have been produced as illustrative examples for responsible persons.

► Training and support

Each organisation must ensure that all staff who carry out the procedure on its behalf are aware of the duty of candour procedure.

An E-Learning resource has been produced by NHS Education for Scotland, The Scottish Social Services Council, The Care Inspectorate and Healthcare Improvement Scotland. Relevant staff should be encouraged to complete the module which takes no longer than an hour. It is available on the following web-sites:

- [Turas](#);
- NHS learning systems, such as [learnPro](#);
- [The Care Inspectorate](#);
- [Scottish Social Services Council](#);
- [Little Things make a Big Difference](#);

Factsheets are available on the [Little Things make a Big Difference](#) website.

Things to consider ▶

Which staff are likely to require a more detailed knowledge of the duty of candour procedure?

Do staff who are meeting with relevant persons feel knowledgeable and confident in determining the impact of the incident on wellbeing?

Organisations must ensure that all such employees receive relevant training and guidance on the duty of candour procedure, and any services and support which may be available to relevant persons.

Organisations must provide relevant persons with details of needs-based services or support. Organisations should consider the relevance of services and support such as counselling, bereavement support and independent advocacy.

Through meetings and discussion with relevant persons, organisations should determine the impact of the unintended or unexpected event on their health and wellbeing. This will assist with identification of their needs and the way in which services or support might provide them with assistance.

Organisations must provide any of their employees who were involved in the incident with details of any services or support of which the organisation is aware which may be able to provide assistance or support to any such employee, taking into account the circumstances relating to the incident; and the employee's needs. This may take the form of debriefing or direct support.

Annex A

► The Organisational Duty of Candour Checklist

Step 1:

Identifying and Contacting the Relevant Person

- Do you know who the relevant person is in respect of this incident?
- Is their preferred method of communication already known? If not, this needs to be determined and noted.
- Has it been possible to make contact with them? If not, a note should be made of the attempts that have made to make contact.

Step 2:

Notify Relevant Person

- Provide the relevant person with an account of the incident and what actions are going to be taken. (Note that if it is more than a month since the incident need to explain why).

Step 3:

Arrange a meeting

- Arrange a meeting – and provide the person with the opportunity to ask questions in advance of the meeting.

At the meeting (or through communication if not desired):

- Apologise, if not already happened.
- Tell the person what happened.
- Tell them what further steps are being taken.
- Give the relevant person the opportunity to ask further questions and express their views.
- Tell them about any other processes that might be on-going.
- Provide them with a note of the meeting and details on how to contact a person within the organisation.

Step 4:

Carry out a review

- Start a review – remember to seek the views of the relevant person.
- Prepare a report – to include the manner it has been carried out.
- Ensure that report focus is on improving quality and sharing learning.
- Report to include the actions taken in respect of the duty of candour procedure.
- Offer to send the relevant person a copy of the review report – remember to let them know of any further actions subsequently.
- Make sure that a written apology is offered.

Throughout

Support and Assistance for Relevant Person & Staff

- Consider and give relevant person support or assistance available to them.
- Staff to receive training and guidance on all requirements of the procedure.
- Employees to be provided with details of services or support relating to their needs arising from the incident.

Annex B

► Summary of the Duty of Candour Procedure

The Health (Tobacco, Nicotine etc. and Care) (Scotland) Act 2016 received Royal Assent on 6 April 2016 and introduced a new organisational duty of candour on health, care and social work services. The procedure to be followed is set out in the Duty of Candour Procedure (Scotland) Regulations 2018, which comes into effect on 1 April 2018.

The Duty of Candour procedure applies to incidents that the responsible person becomes aware of after 1 April 2018. For example, after 1 April 2018, if the responsible person becomes aware of unexpected psychological harm that occurred because of care provided to the relevant person in 2015, the Duty of Candour procedure should be activated.

The overall purpose of the duty of candour is to ensure that organisations are open, honest and supportive when there is an unexpected or unintended incident resulting in death or harm, as defined in the Act.

► The responsible person

The Act defines the “responsible person” as:

- a Health Board;
- a person (other than an individual) who has entered into a contract, agreement or arrangement with a Health Board to provide a health service;
- the Common Services Agency for the Scottish Health Service;
- a person (other than an individual) providing an independent healthcare service;
- a local authority;
- a person (other than an individual) who provides a care service;
- an individual who provides a care service and who employs, or has otherwise made arrangements with, other persons to assist with the provision of that service;
- a person (other than an individual) who provides a social work service.

This means that the new Duty applies to organisations and not individuals. It is placed upon health, care and social work organisations.

▶ The responsible person has responsibility for:

- carrying out the procedure;
- undertaking any training required by regulations;
- providing training, supervision and support to any person carrying out any part of the procedure as required by regulations;
- reporting annually on the duty.

▶ Incident which activates the duty:


The duty of candour procedure must be carried out by the responsible person as soon as reasonably practicable after becoming aware that an individual who has received a health, care, or social work service has been the subject of an unintended or unexpected incident, and in the reasonable opinion of a registered health professional has resulted in, or could result in:

- the death of the person;
- a permanent lessening of bodily, sensory, motor, physiologic or intellectual functions;
- an increase in the person's treatment;
- changes to the structure of the person's body;
- the shortening of the life expectancy of the person;
- an impairment of the sensory, motor or intellectual functions of the person which has lasted, or is likely to last, for a continuous period of at least 28 days;
- the person experiencing pain or psychological harm which has been, or is likely to be, experienced by the person for a continuous period of at least 28 days;
- the person requiring treatment by a registered health professional in order to prevent:
 - the death of the person; or
 - any injury to the person which, if left untreated, would lead to one or more of the outcomes mentioned above.

► The procedure:

The 'duty of candour procedure' means the actions to be taken by the responsible person in accordance with regulations made by the Scottish Ministers. The regulations detail the specific actions and recording of information required by the responsible person when carrying out each stage of the procedure.

The key stages of the procedure include:

- (a) to notify the person affected (or family/relative where appropriate);
 - (b) to provide an apology;
 - (c) to carry out a review into the circumstances leading to the incident;
 - (d) to offer and arrange a meeting with the person affected and/or their family, where appropriate;
 - (e) to provide the person affected with an account of the incident;
 - (f) to provide information about further steps taken;
 - (g) to make available, or provide information about, support to persons affected by the incident;
 - (h) to prepare and publish an annual report on the duty of candour.
- 

Annex C

► Definitions as set out in the Health (tobacco, nicotine, etc. And Care) (Scotland) Act 2016 and the implementing regulations

“**The Act**” means the Health (Tobacco, Nicotine, etc. and Care) (Scotland) Act 2016.

“**The 1978 Act**” means the National Health Service (Scotland) Act 1978.

“**The Regulations**” mean the Duty of Candour Procedure (Scotland) Regulations 2018.

“**care service**” has the meaning given by section 47(1) of the Public Services Reform (Scotland) Act 2010, except that it does not include a service mentioned in paragraph (k) of that section (child minding).

“**health service**” means services under the health service continued under section 1 of the 1978 Act, and an independent healthcare service mentioned in section 10F(1) of the 1978 Act.

“**incident**” means the unintended or unexpected incident by virtue of which section 21(2) of the Act applies to a person.

“**the procedure**” means the actions set out in regulations 2 to 7 of the Duty of Candour Procedure (Scotland) Regulations 2018.

“**registered health professional**” means a member of a profession to which section 60(2) of the Health Act 1999 applies.

“**relevant person, as set out in section 22(3) of the Act**” means the person who has received the health service, the care service or the social work service, or where that person has died, or is, in the opinion of the responsible person, lacking in capacity or otherwise unable to make decisions about the service provided, a person acting on behalf of that person.



“**responsible person**”, as set out in section 25 of the Act, means:

- a Health Board constituted under section 2(1) of the 1978 Act;
- a person (other than an individual) who has entered into a contract, agreement or arrangement with a Health Board to provide a health service;
- the Common Services Agency for the Scottish Health Service constituted under section 10(1) of the 1978 Act;
- a person (other than an individual) providing an independent healthcare service mentioned in section 10F(1) of the 1978 Act;
- a local authority;
- a person (other than an individual) who provides a care service;
- an individual who provides a care service and who employs, or has otherwise made arrangements with, other persons to assist with the provision of that service (unless the assistance in providing that service is merely incidental to the carrying out of other activities);
- a person (other than an individual) who provides a social work service.

“**social work services**” has the meaning given by section 48 of the Public Services Reform (Scotland) Act 2010.

“**written**” includes electronic communication, as defined in section 15(1) of the Electronic Communications Act 2003.



Annex D

► Making an apology

For the purposes of the Act, an “apology” means a statement of sorrow or regret in respect of the unintended or unexpected incident that caused harm or death. The Act sets out that an apology or other step taken in accordance with the duty of candour procedure does not of itself amount to an admission of negligence or a breach of a statutory duty.

Sometimes clinical and care staff find it difficult to say sorry when something has gone wrong and harm has occurred. People may be unclear if they can say sorry and worry that the timing for doing this will not be right or that they will make things worse. The Four Rs are an easy way to remember how we can get this right:

Reflect – stop and think about the situation.

Regret – give a sincere and meaningful apology.

Reason – if you know, explain why something has happened or not happened and if you don't know, say that you will find out.

Remedy – what actions you are going to take to ensure that this won't happen again and that the organisation learns from the incident.

It is important that an open and honest apology is provided from the outset as this can reassure an individual and/or their family and will also set the tone for moving things forward from here.

By making an apology following an unintended or unexpected incident, you are acknowledging that harm has been caused, a mistake has been made and you may be acknowledging emotions that are felt by the individual and/or their family. A meaningful apology can help to calm a person who has become angry or upset. An apology is not an admission of liability.



► What is a meaningful apology?

An apology is often the first step in putting things right and can help to repair a damaged relationship and restore dignity and trust. To make an apology meaningful you should:

- acknowledge what has gone wrong;
- clearly describe what has gone wrong to show you understand what has happened and the impact for the person affected;
- accept responsibility or the responsibility of your organisation for the harm done;
- explain why the harm happened;
- show that you are sincerely sorry;
- assure the individual and/or their family of the steps you or your organisation have taken, or will be taking to make sure the harm does not happen again (where possible);
- make amends and put things right where you can.



► How should I make an apology?

Your apology should be based on the individual circumstances. There is no 'one size fits all' apology, but there are some general good practice points.

- the timing of the apology is very important and should be done without delay;
- to make the apology meaningful do not distance yourself from the apology or let there be any doubt that you or your organisation accept any wrongdoing;
- the language you use should be clear, plain and direct;
- your apology should sound natural and sincere;
- your apology should not question the extent of harm suffered by the person affected;
- your apology should not minimise the incident;
- it is very important that you apologise to the right person or people.

► Who should apologise?

The Act states that the responsibility for the apology rests with the responsible person – this is the organisation delivering the service. Within each organisation there will be individuals with delegated responsibility for ensuring that the organisational duties (in this case providing an apology on behalf of the organisation) are met (recognising that there are likely to have been individuals who have provided individual apologies). Your organisation may have guidelines you can use.

For an apology to be effective it needs to be sincere. Sometimes you may need to apologise for an event which is not of your doing – indeed the organisationally focused apology required by the duty of candour procedure will involve this. Sometimes it is the official organisational recognition of the event that will be important to the individual and/or their family.

The timing of a more formal apology is at the discretion of the responsible person but best practice would be to also apologise immediately the event comes to light. When making your apology you should not worry about who is to blame or what has gone wrong but merely apologise for the event occurring.

It is the responsible person's responsibility to make an apology, where appropriate, and you could include some phrases such as:

'I am sorry that this has happened to you and I'm going to find out what went wrong and come back to you.'

'I am sorry that harm has occurred, let me find out what has happened and come back to you with information.'

Annex E

▶ The Relevant person:

Adults with incapacity and following a death

1. In carrying out the duty of candour procedure the onus is for the health, care, or social work provider, in their role as appropriate person, to determine who should act on behalf of a relevant person who lacks capacity or who has died, taking into account any existing arrangements that are in place as regard to power of attorney or guardianship and seeking legal advice as appropriate. The following paragraphs provide information on Power Of Attorney; Guardianship and Next-of-Kin.

▶ Power of Attorney

2. A power of attorney is a way of giving someone else permission to make decisions about your money and property as well as your health and personal welfare. It usually sets out what you would want to happen in the future if you could no longer look after your own affairs. In some circumstances you can choose for it to start immediately. As a power of attorney gives legal authority for someone else to act on your behalf, it is important to take advice from a solicitor.

▶ The Attorney

3. A power of attorney is a written document, usually drawn up by a solicitor, which gives the name of the person – the attorney – you would like to help make decisions and take actions on your behalf. More than one person can be named. The attorney should be someone you trust, such as a family member or friend, or your solicitor. The powers the attorney would have are written down along with when he or she would begin acting for you. Attorneys have a duty to keep records of their actions. If you have only one attorney named and he or she is no longer able to act for you, a new power of attorney must be drawn up.

▶ Types of Power of Attorney

4. A power of attorney can include decisions about your money and property. This is called a continuing power of attorney. A welfare power of attorney relates to your future health or personal welfare.

5. Different attorneys can be appointed for each type of power of attorney. A continuing power of attorney can be used to help with financial matters before you are incapable but decisions about your welfare cannot be made until you are no longer able to do so yourself.

▶ Who Needs a Power of Attorney?

Everyone should consider asking a solicitor to prepare a power of attorney. With some people, their capacity to look after their affairs is impaired gradually, for instance, as they grow older. But sudden accidents and illnesses can happen to anyone. A doctor can assess whether or not a person is incapable.

▶ What is the difference between a power of attorney and a guardianship?

Both fulfil the same function – allowing one person to act on behalf of another, to look after their financial and/or welfare matters. The difference is that a power of attorney can only be granted from an individual who can understand and explain their wishes whereas a guardianship applies when a person does not have capacity to make decisions on their own behalf. A guardianship is applied for through the courts (and can take up to six months to be granted) whereas a power of attorney is drawn up by a solicitor.

A guardianship is for a fixed period of time (unless a good reason can be shown why it should be longer) whereas a power of attorney stays in force unless revoked by the person granting the power of attorney or death.

▶ Next-of-Kin

The term next-of-kin has no legal definition in Scotland. An individual can nominate any other individual as their next-of-kin. There is no requirement for the nominated person to be a blood relative or spouse, although it is normally the case. Someone who has no close family (or who has little or no contact with their surviving family members) may decide to list someone outside their family as their next of kin, for instance a friend or a neighbour. The nominated person must agree to the nomination, otherwise it is invalid. The status of next-of-kin confers no legal rights and has no special responsibilities.

In the context of healthcare, patients are often asked to nominate a next-of-kin when registering with their general practitioner, or alternatively on admission to hospital. Hospitals will then notify the next-of-kin that the patient has been admitted or if there is any change in their condition. If the patient is unconscious or otherwise unable to state their next-of-kin, hospitals will usually list their nearest relative, though there are no specific rules. Doctors should attempt to seek the views of the next-of-kin when considering decision making for unconscious patients or those who lack capacity.

Annex F

► Training, Education, Advice, Guidance and Publicity

Resources have been allocated by NHS Education for Scotland and the Scottish Social Services Council to support training and awareness and have made duty of candour training and education available through existing networks and communication channels. Using and targeting existing resources will also be an important element of implementation support planning for the duty of candour.

Organisations should support a range of approaches to the planning, delivery and continuous improvement of their processes for applying the duty of candour procedure.

Email: dutyofcandour@gov.scot

Twitter: [@candourdutyscot](https://twitter.com/candourdutyscot)



Annex G

► Professional regulators guidance

Joint statement from the Chief Executives of statutory regulators of healthcare professionals: https://www.gmc-uk.org/Joint_statement_on_the_professional_duty_of_candour_FINAL.pdf 58140142.pdf

General Medical Council/Nursing & Midwifery Council – Openness and honesty when things go wrong: the professional duty of candour: <https://www.nmc.org.uk/globalassets/sitedocuments/nmc-publications/openness-and-honesty-professional-duty-of-candour.pdf>

General Chiropractic Council – Guidance on Candour: <https://www.gcc-uk.org/UserFiles/Docs/Guidance/GCC-Guidance-Candour-FINAL.pdf>

General Dental Council – Being open and honest with patients when something goes wrong: <https://www.gdc-uk.org/api/files/Duty%20of%20Candour.pdf>

General Optical Council – Supplementary guidance on the professional duty of candour: http://www.optical.org/filemanager/root/site_assets/standards/new_standards_documents/supplementary_guidance_on_the_professional_duty_of_candour.pdf

General Osteopathic Council – Standards of Practice: <http://www.osteopathy.org.uk/standards/osteopathic-practice/>

General Pharmaceutical Council – Standards for pharmacy professionals: https://www.pharmacyregulation.org/sites/default/files/standards_for_pharmacy_professionals_may_2017.pdf

Scottish Social Services Council – Codes of Practice
<http://www.sssc.uk.com/about-the-sssc/multimedia-library/publications/37-about-the-sssc/information-material/61-codes-of-practice/1020-sssc-codes-of-practice-for-social-service-workers-and-employers>



Annex H

► Further sources of support

FAQ's

<http://www.gov.scot/Topics/Health/Policy/Duty-of-Candour/FAQ>

Little Things Make a Big Difference (including factsheets and e-learning module) www.knowledge.scot.nhs.uk/making-a-difference.aspx

The Scottish Government

<http://www.gov.scot/Topics/Health/Policy/Duty-of-Candour>

Healthcare Improvement Scotland, Being Open

<http://www.knowledge.scot.nhs.uk/media/CLT/ResourceUploads/4058625/20150113%20Being%20Open%201.0.pdf>

Care Inspectorate

www.careinspectorate.com

Healthcare Improvement Scotland

www.healthcareimprovementscotland.org

Scottish Independent Advocacy Alliance

<https://www.siaa.org.uk/>

AvMA – Independent advice and support to people affected by medical accidents <https://www.avma.org.uk/>

NMC/GMC Openness and honesty when things go wrong: the professional duty of candour https://www.gmc-uk.org/DoC_guidance_englsih.pdf 61618688.pdf

Scottish Public Services Ombudsman, Guidance on Apology

<http://www.valuingcomplaints.org.uk/handling-complaints/resources/apology>

NHS Resolution – Saying Sorry Leaflet

<https://resolution.nhs.uk/saying-sorry-leaflet/>

Royal College of Surgeons – Duty of Candour – Guidance for Surgeons and Employers <https://www.rcseng.ac.uk/library-and-publications/rcs-publications/docs/duty-of-candour/>

National Patient Safety Agency – The Incident Decision Tree

<http://www.nrls.npsa.nhs.uk/resources/?EntryId45=59900>

Duty of candour and the definition of moderate harm for radiation overexposure and exposures much greater than intended in diagnostic radiology <http://www.birpublications.org/doi/10.1259/bjr.20130555>

GMC – Flowchart for decision making when patients may lack capacity
https://www.gmc-uk.org/Flowchart_A4_mental_capacity.pdf_66641056.pdf

Unite
<http://www.unitetheunion.org>

Scottish Association of Social Workers
<https://www.basw.co.uk/scotland/>

Royal College of Nursing
<https://www.rcn.org.uk>

The Yorkshire Contributory Factors Framework
<http://www.improvementacademy.org/resources/an-evidence-based-framework-for-investigating-safety-incidents/>

The London Protocol
<http://www.imperial.ac.uk/patient-safety-translational-research-centre/education/training-materials-for-use-in-research-and-clinical-practice/the-london-protocol/>

Integrating Human Factors to improve the quality of incident investigation
<http://www.valuingcomplaints.org.uk/sites/valuingcomplaints/files/Human%20Factors%20Integrating%20Human%20Factors%20-%20Conference%202015.pdf>

NHS Improvement Just Culture Guide
<https://improvement.nhs.uk/resources/just-culture-guide/>





Scottish Government
Riaghaltas na h-Alba
gov.scot

© Crown copyright 2018

OGL

This publication is licensed under the terms of the Open Government Licence v3.0 except where otherwise stated. To view this licence, visit nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3 or write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or email: psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk

Where we have identified any third party copyright information you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

This publication is available at www.gov.scot

Any enquiries regarding this publication should be sent to us at
The Scottish Government
St Andrew's House
Edinburgh
EH1 3DG

ISBN: 978-1-78851-601-3 (web only)

Published by The Scottish Government, March 2018

Produced for The Scottish Government by APS Group Scotland, 21 Tennant Street, Edinburgh EH6 5NA
PPDAS362827 (03/18)

W W W . G O V . S C O T